

CREDIT OPINION

8 September 2016

New Issue

Rate this Research >>

Contacts

Sam Feldman- 415-274-1706
 Crough
 Associate Analyst
 samuel.feldman@moodys.com

Patrick Liberatore 415-274-1709
 Assistant Vice
 President
 patrick.liberatore@moodys.com

Helen Cregger 415-274-1720
 VP-Sr Credit Officer
 helen.cregger@moodys.com

Benton County Public Utility District 1, WA

New Issue - Moody's Assigns Aa3 to Benton County Public Utility District 1, WA's Electric Revenue and Refunding Bonds

Summary Rating Rationale

Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa3 rating to Benton County Public Utility District 1, Washington's \$22.7 million Electric Revenue and Refunding Bonds, Series 2016. Moody's also affirmed the Aa3 rating on the district's parity debt outstanding. Post-issuance, the district is expected to have \$63.1 million in debt outstanding.

The Aa3 rating reflects the district's sizeable service area, generally strong financial operations and healthy debt service coverage, and an excellent rate-setting record demonstrating a strong willingness to adjust rates as needed. The rating also incorporates the district's reliance on contracted power from the Bonneville Power Administration's reliable hydrological system for a substantial portion of their supply.

Credit Strengths

- » Vast majority of power supply is from relatively low-cost federal Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)
- » Rate increases do not require external approval, and district has proven record of increases

Credit Challenges

- » Moderate customer concentration in agriculture and related industries
- » Power supply levels from BPA susceptible to fluctuations in hydrological conditions

Rating Outlook

Outlooks are not usually assigned to issuers with this amount of debt outstanding.

Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

- » Material increase in liquidity
- » Reduced customer concentration and further diversification of the district's service area

Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Deterioration of liquidity levels
- » Significant increase in debt profile
- » Power supply levels from BPA susceptible to fluctuations in hydrological conditions

Key Indicators

Exhibit 1

Benton County Public Utility District 1, WA

System Characteristics					
Asset Condition (Net Fixed Assets / Annual Depreciation)	9 years				
System Size - O&M (in \$000s)	116,753				
Service Area Wealth: MFI % of US median	110.88%				
Legal Provisions					
Rate Covenant (x)	1.25				
Debt Service Reserve Requirement	DSRF funded at lesser of standard 3-prong test				
Financial Strength					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Operating Revenue (\$000)	134,441	129,147	137,319	145,264	138,885
O&M (\$000)	103,525	99,450	110,407	118,278	116,753
Long-Term Debt (\$000)	62,330	59,575	56,635	53,600	49,735
Annual Debt Service Coverage (x)	4.03	3.60	3.14	3.38	2.93
Cash on Hand	153 days	207 days	160 days	153 days	142 days
Debt to Operating Revenues (x)	0.5x	0.5x	0.4x	0.4x	0.4x

Source: Moody's Investors Service

Recent Developments

Recent developments are incorporated into the Detailed Rating Considerations.

Detailed Rating Considerations

Service Area and System Characteristics: Sizeable Service Area, Somewhat Concentrated in Agriculture; Power Source is Stable and Low-Cost

The district, located in southeastern Washington, serves much of Benton County (Aa2 Issuer Rating) with the exception of the City of Richland, which owns and operates its own electric utility. The county comprises the bulk of the Tri-Cities area in south central Washington along the Yakima and Columbia Rivers. Historically, the Tri-Cities economy was largely supported by the federally funded cleanup and conversion of the Hanford Nuclear Reservation, however the local economy and employment have recently been less reliant on Hanford-related employment. Agriculture and related food processing remain important components of the local economy and a substantial portion of the district's revenues are derived from manufacturing firms and from agricultural interests with large

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

power needs for irrigation. The top 10 customers, representing 24% of revenues and 32% of MWhs sold, are somewhat concentrated, with farms representing six of the top 10.

The district has been a statutory preference customer of the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) since 1946 and currently purchases nearly all of its power from BPA. Like many of its peers in the Northwest, the district's Block and Slice Power Sales Agreement with BPA is in effect through September 2028. The district has a block (guaranteed power delivery) of 103 aMW. During critical water years, when power generation is lowest, the district expects to receive an additional 96.3 aMW as part of their slice; in average water years, they expect to receive 125.3 aMW. The district's projected 2017 load requirement is 207.6 aMW, which can be met by BPA power alone during average water years. The district's remaining power supply requirements, if any, are supplied by contract purchases. The district achieved its 2016 Renewable Portfolio Standard target of 9% due to its participation in the Nine Canyon Wind Project and the purchase of capacity from the White Creek Wind Project. The district sells into the market any surplus it has from these sources. We expect the district to continue to perform well against future renewable energy standards given their advance planning and access to renewable resources if needed.

Similar to many public utilities in the Northwest, the district has a Resource Management Agreement (RMA) with The Energy Authority (TEA) to provide scheduling, dispatching, fuel management and other power management services. The arrangement allows the district to operate more efficiently during peak-power needs due to the larger base and diversity of customers across the other proximal public utilities that are also members. This provides significant benefit to the district as it is a "double-peaker," with peak demand in both summer months (due to irrigation needs and weather-related demand) and winter months (largely due to weather-related demand).

Debt Service Coverage and Liquidity: Strong Coverage and Adequate Liquidity

The district's debt service coverage has consistently outperformed projections, even in 2015, which presented the district with several challenges. Debt service coverage exceeded 3-times from 2011 to 2014, and dropped to a still-strong 2.93 in fiscal 2015. The drop in coverage was largely due to the warmest year on record in the Tri-Cities area, which reduced sales significantly, especially during the winter months. Additionally, net power costs were slightly higher than budgeted due to the third-worst water year on record, which reduced the supply from BPA. In 2016, warm winter weather in the first few months of the year continued to reduce demand, however net power costs were lower than projected as water flows are near average for the year. Debt service coverage is expected to be 2.84-times, with 134 days cash on hand, by year-end.

Most of the district's operating revenue is from retail energy sales (84%), however the district also receives 12% of operating revenue from wholesale activity from surplus power supplies. Wholesale market prices have been volatile, and the amount of surplus power changes based on weather, water flows, and other factors. Positively, wholesale activity represents a smaller portion of the district's revenues than in previous years, and the district has effectively managed with lower wholesale revenues.

The district's projections are driven by conservative assumptions. Even with the most conservative assumptions used by the district, debt service coverage is expected to exceed 2.8-times with moderate rate increases of 4.9% in 2017 and 2019. (The district is not projecting a rate increase in 2018 as they will be moving the timing of rate increases from September to April in order to better capture revenue from increased summer demand.)

LIQUIDITY

The district's liquidity is strong at 142 days in fiscal 2015. The district is projecting cash to be roughly equal in future years, with a moderate decline in the most conservative scenarios. The board requires unrestricted reserves at least equivalent to 90 days of cash-on-hand, not including designated funds, and management's target is 120 days by the same measure. Moody's measures cash including most designated funds, so our calculation is somewhat higher.

Debt and Legal Covenants: Debt Profile is Low; Standard Legal Provisions

The district's debt profile is low at 0.45-times operating revenues. Post-issuance, the district is expected to have \$63.1 million in debt outstanding, all of it on parity with the current issuance.

Satisfactory legal provisions include a rate covenant which requires 1.25 times coverage of annual debt service requirements, a 1.25 times additional bonds test, and a standard cash- or surety-funded reserve requirement employing the lesser of the standard three-prong test.

DEBT STRUCTURE

All of the district's debt is fixed-rate. Annual debt service is roughly equal at \$6.5 million through 2021, when debt service decreases in steps to \$5.5 million in 2022, \$4.5 million in 2023 through 2030, and finally to \$1.6 million in 2031 before final maturity in 2041.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The district has no debt-related derivatives.

PENSIONS AND OPEB

The district provides pension benefits through the state's defined benefit and cost-sharing plans of the Washington Department of Retirement Systems. The district fully funds its actuarially required contributions annually to the state system, including a modest contribution expense of \$1.3 million in FY2015 (equivalent to 1% of operating revenues). The district has no Other Post-Employment Benefit (OPEB) plans.

Management and Governance: Solid Policies and Practices Maintain Healthy Finances

The PUD benefits from local rate-setting authority that does not require external approval. The district's board has increased rates five times since 2010 and currently plans to increase rates twice in the next three years. The district is expecting to adjust the rate structure to better align fixed and variable costs with fixed and variable rate revenue.

The district's board has set several policy targets that demonstrate a healthy focus on stable financial management. For example, the legal covenants for the bonds require rates that collect net revenues at least 1.25-times debt service, however the commission has set a policy to maintain coverage that is at least 1.75-times debt service, excluding capital contributions. Additionally, the board requires unrestricted reserves at least equivalent to 90 days cash-on-hand, not including designated funds, and management's target is 120 days including designated funds.

Legal Security

The bonds are secured by the net revenues of the electric system.

Use of Proceeds

Proceeds will be used to fund various capital projects of the district. The district may also use a portion of the proceeds to refund outstanding parity bonds.

Obligor Profile

The Benton County Public Utility District provides electric service to approximately 51,000 customers in a service area in Benton County, located in southeastern Washington. The district serves the cities of Kennewick (population 79,120), Prosser (5,940), and Benton City (3,325) as well as portions of the surrounding area. The district does not provide power to the U.S. Department of Energy's operations on the Hanford Reservation, nor to the cities of Richland and West Richland, which are served by other providers. The PUD is a preference customer of BPA and reserves the majority of its energy from this agency.

Methodology

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Municipal Utility Revenue Debt published in December 2014. Please see the Ratings Methodologies page on www.moody.com for a copy of this methodology.

Ratings

Exhibit 2

Benton County Public Utility District 1, WA

Issue	Rating
Electric Revenue and Refunding Bonds, Series 2016	Aa3
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$22,705,000
Expected Sale Date	09/16/2016
Rating Description	Revenue: Government Enterprise

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1039792

Contacts

Sam Feldman-Crough
Associate Analyst
samuel.feldman@moodys.com

415-274-1706

Helen Cregger
VP-Sr Credit Officer
helen.cregger@moodys.com

415-274-1720

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454